UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,089	01/02/2004	Curtis G. Neason	066243-0241 (141225)	4540
	GE MEDICAL SYSTEM C/O FOLEY & LARDNER LLP	8	EXAMINER	
C/O FOLEY &			CATTUNGAL, SANJAY	
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/751,089	NEASON, CURTIS	G.
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SANJAY CATTUNGAL	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notic replies: (1) an amendment, aff al (with appeal fee) in complia	e of Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other evidence, w ance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) X The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the n o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding am hortened statutory period for reply	ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see v);	NOTE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. 	· · · · · ·		ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	J	,,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	.		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.4.6-8.10-13 and 15-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:] will be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that the U. S. Publication No. 2005/028 Examiner would like to point out that the Perkins reference subjected matter used in the rejection and has a priority of the subject of the sub	8571 to Perkins is not availab ce claims priority to US Applic	le as prior art as the date i ation no. 10/643487 which	s not good.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (
13. Other:			

/Brian L Casler/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737